



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/696,664	10/29/2003	Rosalinda C. Sta-Maria	Sta-Maria-1	6832
7590	04/04/2006		EXAMINER	
Mr. Walter J. Tencza Jr. Suite 3 10 Station Place Metuchen, NJ 08840			LOPEZ, AMADEUS SEBASTIAN	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3743	

DATE MAILED: 04/04/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/696,664	STA-MARIA, ROSALINDA C.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Amadeus S. Lopez	3743	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --
Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 13 February 2006.
- 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final.
- 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) Claim(s) 6,7,9,20 and 30-34 is/are pending in the application.
 - 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) Claim(s) 6,7,9,20 and 30-34 is/are rejected.
- 7) Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.
 Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
 Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
 - a) All b) Some * c) None of:
 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
- * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|--|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413) |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____ | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) |
| | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

DETAILED ACTION

1. The allowability of claims 6, 7, 19, 20, and 30-34 has been regrettably withdrawn due to the discovery of applicable prior art.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

3. **Claims 6, 7, 19, and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent No. 5682881 to Winthrop et al.**
4. **With regards to claim 6, what is taught and shown by Winthrop et al. in Fig. 1-4 is a nasal cannula apparatus comprising a body portion (the apparatus shown in Fig. 3); a first hollow tube (part 16 on left) protruding out of the body portion; and a second hollow tube (part 16 on right) protruding out from the body portion; a first device**

(apparatus shown in Fig. 3) for attaching the first and second hollow tubes to an individual's head (attached to user's head at foam 32 via adhesive strips 36, 38, 40; Col. 2, line 58 to Col. 3, line 22); wherein a first end of the first hollow tube (part 16 on left) can be inserted into a first nostril of an individual (see figure 4); wherein a first end of the second hollow tube can be inserted into a second nostril of the individual (see figure 4); wherein the nosepiece (14) is further comprised of a flap portion (18); and wherein the nose piece is configured so that the nose piece can be attached to the individual's head so that the flap portion does not touch a nose of the individual but touches skin between the nose and an upper lip of the individual, while at the same time the first end of the first hollow tube is inserted into the first nostril and the first end of the second hollow tube is inserted into the second nostril (see figure 4).

5. **With regards to claim 7,** what is taught and shown by Winthrop et al. in figure 4 is a nasal cannula apparatus comprising an adhesive strip (36, 38, and 40) for taping the flap portion of the nose piece to the individual (see figure 4; Col. 5, lines 29-42).

6. **With regards to claim 19,** what is taught and shown by Winthrop et al. in figure 4 is a method comprising the steps of attaching first and second hollow tubes to an individual's head (Col. 3, lines 10-22; Col. 5, lines 14-42); inserting a first end of the first hollow tube (part 16 on left) into a first nostril of an individual (fig. 4; Col. 5, lines 29-42); and inserting a first end of the second hollow tube into a second nostril of the individual; and wherein the first and second hollow tubes protrude out from a body portion of a nose piece (14; see fig. 4) and wherein the first and second hollow tubes are attached to the individual's head through the nose piece (Col. 5, lines 14-42), which is attached at

Art Unit: 3743

or near the upper lip of the individual; and wherein the nose piece includes a flap portion (18); and wherein the nose piece is configured so that the nose piece can be attached to the individual's head so that the flap portion does not touch a nose of the individual but touches skin between the nose and an upper lip of an individual, while at the same time the first end of the first hollow tube is inserted into the first nostril and the first end of the second hollow tube is inserted into the second nostril (see fig. 4).

7. **With regards to claim 20,** what is taught and shown by Winthrop et al. in fig. 4 is the method wherein the nosepiece (18) is attached by an adhesive (36, 38, and 40) which adheres the flap portion of the nose piece to the individual at or near the an upper lip of the individual (see figure 4; Col. 5, lines 14-42).

8. Claims 6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent Application Publication US 2003/0172936 to Wilkie et al.

9. **With regards to claim 6,** what is taught and shown by Wilkie et al. in Fig. 1, 2, and 6 is a nasal cannula apparatus comprising a body portion (18); a first hollow tube (part 26 on left) protruding out of the body portion; and a second hollow tube (part 26 on right) protruding out from the body portion; a first device (12, 14, and 18) for attaching the first and second hollow tubes to an individual's head (attached to head via strap 110 through aperture 16); wherein a first end of the first hollow tube (part 26 on left) can be inserted into a first nostril of an individual (see figure 6); wherein a first end of the second hollow tube can be inserted into a second nostril of the individual (see figure 6); wherein the nosepiece (18) is further comprised of a flap portion (12); and wherein the

nose piece is configured so that the nose piece can be attached to the individual's head so that the flap portion does not touch a nose of the individual but touches skin between the nose and an upper lip of the individual, while at the same time the first end of the first hollow tube is inserted into the first nostril and the first end of the second hollow tube is inserted into the second nostril (see figure 6).

10. **With regards to claim 19,** what is taught and shown by Wilkie et al. in figures 1, 2, and 6 is a method comprising the steps of attaching first and second hollow tubes to an individual's head (paragraph 68); inserting a first end of the first hollow tube (part 26 on left) into a first nostril of an individual (fig. 6) and inserting a first end of the second hollow tube into a second nostril of the individual; and wherein the first and second hollow tubes protrude out from a body portion of a nose piece (18; fig. 6) and wherein the first and second hollow tubes are attached to the individual's head through the nose piece (fig. 6), which is attached at or near the upper lip of the individual; and wherein the nose piece includes a flap portion (12); and wherein the nose piece is configured so that the nose piece can be attached to the individual's head so that the flap portion does not touch a nose of the individual but touches skin between the nose and an upper lip of an individual, while at the same time the first end of the first hollow tube is inserted into the first nostril and the first end of the second hollow tube is inserted into the second nostril (see fig. 6; see paragraphs 21-25).

11. **With regards to claim 30,** what is taught and shown by Wilkie et al. is a nasal cannula apparatus in which the flap portion (12) is flexible (paragraph 21).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

12. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham v. John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

13. **Claims 7 and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Patent Application Publication US 2003/0172936 to Wilkie et al. in view of U.S. Patent No. 5682881 to Winthrop et al.**

14. With regards to claim 7, what is taught and shown by Wilkie et al. are all the limitations of the disclosed invention defined by claim 6 with the exception of utilizing an adhesive strip for taping the flap portion of the nose piece to the individual. What is taught and shown by Winthrop et al. in figure 4 are adhesive strips (36, 38, and 40) for taping the flap portion of the nose piece to the individual (see figure 4; Col. 5, lines 29-42). It would have been obvious to one ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention

was made to secure the flap portion of the nosepiece on the nasal cannula device taught by Wilkie et al. to utilize adhesive strips as taught by Winthrop et al. because it is well known in the art that adhesive strips are an effective means of securing a nasal cannula device to a user so that it may remain in the proper configuration to deliver gas to a user.

15. **With regards to claim 20,** what is taught and shown by Wilkie et al. is a nasal cannula apparatus embodying all the limitations of the disclosed invention defined in claim 19 and 20 with the exception of the method wherein the nose piece is attached by an adhesive, which adheres the flap portion of the nose piece to the individual at or near an upper lip of an individual. What is taught and shown by Winthrop et al. in fig. 4 is the method wherein the nosepiece (18) is attached by an adhesive (36, 38, and 40) which adheres the flap portion of the nose piece to the individual at or near the an upper lip of the individual (see figure 4; Col. 5, lines 14-42). It would have been obvious to one ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to secure the flap portion of the nosepiece on the nasal cannula device taught by Wilkie et al. to attach the nose piece to the user at or near an upper lip via adhesive strips as taught by Winthrop et al. because it is well known in the art that adhesive strips are an effective means of securing a nasal cannula device to a user so that it may remain in the proper configuration to deliver gas to a user. It would also have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the method of delivering gas to a user as taught by Wilkie et al. to adhere the flap portion (12) of the nose piece to the user at or near the upper lip because the upper lip provides a flat

surface located very close to the nose that would provide a suitable surface for an adhesive to be applied so that the tubes can be secured within the nostrils of the user.

16. Claim 30 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent No. 5682881 to Winthrop et al in view of U.S. Patent Application publication number 2003/0172936 to Wilkie et al. With regards to claim 30, what is taught and shown by Winthrop et al. in figure 4 is a nasal cannula apparatus that embodies all the limitations of the disclosed invention defined by claim 6 with the exception that the flap portion is flexible. What is taught and shown by Wilkie et al. is a nasal cannula apparatus in which the flap portion (12) is flexible (paragraph 21). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the flap portion of the nasal cannula device taught by Winthrop et al. to be flexible as taught by Wilkie et al. because a flexible flap portion would be more comfortable for the user since it would be able to conform to the contour of the user's face.

17. Claims 31 and 32 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent No. 5682881 to Winthrop et al in view of U.S. Patent Application publication number US 2005/0028823 to Wood.

18. With regards to claim 31, what is taught and shown by Winthrop et al. is a nasal cannula apparatus that discloses the applicant's invention with the exception of having a flap portion comprised of a pliable synthetic polymer. What is taught by Wood in Figure 1 is a nasal cannula apparatus in which he states that body 32 can be made up of "a polycarbonate, plastic, polymer, metal, ceramic, composite, or other material known in

Art Unit: 3743

the art (paragraph 47)." It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the flap portion (12) of the nasal cannula apparatus taught by Wilkie et al. to utilize a pliable synthetic polymer as taught by Wood to make the flap portion because it is well known in the art that polymers are durable materials and can withstand everyday wear and tear.

19. **With regards to claim 32,** what is taught and shown by Winthrop et al. is a nasal cannula apparatus that discloses the applicant's invention with the exception of having a flap portion comprised of polyvinyl chloride and silicone rubber. What is taught by Wood in Figure 1 is a nasal cannula apparatus in which he states that body 32 can be made up of "a polycarbonate, plastic, polymer, metal, ceramic, composite, or other material known in the art (paragraph 47)." After reviewing the specification, the examiner concludes that at no point does the applicant establish any criticality for utilizing polyvinyl chloride (pvc) and silicone rubber to make the flap portion. Therefore it would have been an obvious matter of design choice to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use pvc, silicone rubber, or any other combination of materials used that are well known in the art to compose the flap portion that would be effective in supporting the device on the face of the user and withstand daily wear and tear for a long time.

20. **Claims 31 and 32 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent Application Publication US 2003/0172936 to Wilkie et al. in view of U.S. Patent Application Publication US 2005/0028823 to Wood.**

21. **With regards to claim 31,** what is taught and shown by Wilkie et al. is a nasal cannula apparatus that discloses the applicant's invention with the exception of having a flap portion comprised of a pliable synthetic polymer. What is taught by Wood in Figure 1 is a nasal cannula apparatus in which he states that body 32 can be made up of "a polycarbonate, plastic, polymer, metal, ceramic, composite, or other material known in the art (paragraph 47)." It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the flap portion (12) of the nasal cannula apparatus taught by Wilkie et al. to utilize a pliable synthetic polymer as taught by Wood to make the flap portion because it is well known in the art that polymers are durable materials and can withstand everyday wear and tear.

22. **With regards to claim 32,** what is taught and shown by Wilkie et al. is a nasal cannula apparatus that discloses the applicant's invention with the exception of having a flap portion comprised of polyvinyl chloride and silicone rubber. What is taught by Wood in Figure 1 is a nasal cannula apparatus in which he states that body 32 can be made up of "a polycarbonate, plastic, polymer, metal, ceramic, composite, or other material known in the art (paragraph 47)." After reviewing the specification, the examiner concludes that at no point does the applicant establish any criticality for utilizing polyvinyl chloride (pvc) and silicone rubber to make the flap portion. Therefore

Art Unit: 3743

it would have been an obvious matter of design choice to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use pvc, silicone rubber, or any other combination of materials used that are well known in the art to compose the flap portion that would be effective in supporting the device on the face of the user and withstand daily wear and tear for a long time.

23. Claims 33 and 34 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. U.S. Patent No 5687715 to Landis et al. in view of U.S. Patent application publication US 2004/00445553 to Cardoso.

24. With regards to claim 33, what is taught and shown by Landis et al. in figure 1 is an apparatus for use in supplying air to an individual comprising a first device (10); a second device (16, 20 & 18,22); a means for attaching the device to an individual's forehead (24); a means for attaching the second device to an area at or near the individual's upper lip (38 and 40; actually attach to a strap to hold apparatus in nares of nose as stated in Col. 6, lines 55-59; being attached into the nose is inherently near the upper lip); first and second hollow tubes (20 and 22) connected to the second device, wherein the first and second hollow tubes have first and second ends, respectively, which can be inserted into first and second nostrils, respectively, of an individual (see. Fig. 1); wherein the first device is connected to the second device (connected via connectors 32 and 34) so that air can flow from the first device to the second device and to the first and second hollow tubes (see fig. 1); wherein the means for attaching the second device does not circle the head of the individual in order to attach the second

device (hooks 38 and 40 on 20 and 22 attach to strap 26 that is fixed to head band 24; Col. 6, lines 55-59). What is not taught is that the means for attaching the first device does not circle the head of the individual to attach the first device. What Cardoso teaches in figure 5b is attaching the nasal apparatus nosepiece to the user by using a forehead crossbrace 35 on the forehead of the user that does not circle the head of the user. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the nasal cannula apparatus disclosed by Landis et al. to include a forehead cross brace that does not circle the head of the user as taught by Cardoso so that the user may comfortably wear the apparatus while lying down without having the discomfort of a strap pressing against the back of the head of the user as they lay down and sleep.

25. **With regards to claim 34,** what is taught and shown by Landis et al. in figure 1 is an apparatus wherein the means for attaching the first device (10) is located substantially at the individual's forehead; and a means for attaching the second device substantially near the individual's upper lip (38 and 40; actually attach to a strap to hold apparatus in nares of nose as stated in Col. 6, lines 55-59; being attached into the nose is inherently near the upper lip).

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Amadeus S. Lopez whose telephone number is (571) 272-7937. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri 8:00AM-4:30PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Henry Bennett can be reached on (571) 272-4791. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Amadeus S Lopez
Amadeus S Lopez
Examiner
Art Unit 3743
March 23, 2006

ASL

Henry Bennett
Henry Bennett
Supervisory Patent Examiner
Group 3700